UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,319	10/15/2004	Hitoshi Ban	0020-5314PUS1	9218
	7590 03/28/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		O'DELL, DAVID K		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/511,319 Examiner	BAN ET AL. Art Unit			
	Examiner	Art Onit			
	David K. O'Dell	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	, which is after the expiration of the			
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 37	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was —), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	_(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		e the period for seeking court review			
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet					
		/Rita J. Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625			

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20080321

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: A call was placed to Mr. Mark J. Nuell on March 20, 2008, who indicated that no response was filed in this application.

/David K. O'Dell/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 .